Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/561,115	OHTAKE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	NOBLE JARRELL	1624
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>NOBLE JARRELL</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>J. Eric Thies</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 May 2009	Time: <u>2:30 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Mr. Thies agreed to the amendment of the phrase "di-lowere" in claim 59 to "di-lower".  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Noble Jarrell/ Examiner, Art Unit 1624 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)